



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,378	11/23/2004	Georg Lutter	903.0002	6218

25534 7590 06/12/2007
CAHN & SAMUELS LLP
2000 P STREET NW
SUITE 200
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

MEHTA, BHISMA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3767

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/12/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

3m

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/510,378		LUTTER, GEORG	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Bhisma Mehta		3767	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bhisma Mehta. (3) _____.

(2) Warren Zittlau. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 June 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: St Goar et al, Do Brava et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

KEVIN C. SIRMONS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The language of claims 1 and 14 were discussed to overcome the prior art of St. Goar et al and Do Brava et al. These references do not appear to teach the passages being completely surrounded by the dilation unit. It was indicated to Mr. Zitlau that a newly discovered reference (Boussignac et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,000,734) was found that shows passages through a dilation unit. This reference will be looked at further to see if the pending claims can be rejected over this prior art. Amendments to the claims to define the sluice mechanism in terms of the elastic material of the dilation unit was also discussed .